

## Reproductive Span of Indian Women: A Review of Factors Influencing Fertility Patterns and Health Implications

<sup>1</sup>Solomon Nungchim Moyon, <sup>2\*</sup>Naorem Sharat Singh

<sup>1</sup>Department of Economics,

United College, Chandel, Manipur (India)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Statistics, Dhanamanjuri University, Imphal (India)

\*Corresponding Author, Email: [sharatstats65@gmail.com](mailto:sharatstats65@gmail.com)

### Abstract:

The reproductive span of women significantly influences demographic trends and public health policies. This review consolidates available literature on the reproductive span of Indian women, emphasizing factors affecting fertility patterns and related health consequences. Incorporating demographic, epidemiological, and sociocultural viewpoints, this paper seeks to offer understanding into the intricate dynamics of reproductive health in India and pinpoint areas for additional research and intervention. Major themes covered comprise the onset of menstruation, cessation of menstruation, fertility shifts, socioeconomic factors, and health effects. The review emphasizes the necessity for inclusive reproductive health initiatives customized to address the varied requirements of Indian women.

**Keywords:** Reproductive span, Indian women, fertility patterns, health consequences, inclusive initiatives

### Introduction

Understanding the reproductive journey of women, encompassing the period from menarche, the onset of menstruation, to menopause, the cessation of menstruation, holds profound importance owing to its extensive impact on both demographic trends and public health priorities. This continuum represents the entirety of a woman's reproductive life, punctuated by pivotal physiological transitions that not only shape individual health outcomes but also reverberate across broader societal dynamics. In the context of India, a nation characterized by a rich tapestry of sociocultural landscapes and stark health inequalities, unraveling the myriad factors influencing women's reproductive span assumes critical significance for informed decision-making in policy formulation and healthcare delivery. The intricate fabric of India's cultural diversity, juxtaposed with glaring disparities in access to healthcare and resources, emphasises the intricate web of challenges in comprehending and addressing the multifaceted reproductive health needs of its female populace.

Renowned public health expert, Patel (2018) emphasizes the necessity of understanding the reproductive span for shaping policies and healthcare services. Similarly, leading researcher in women's health Gupta (2020) stresses the urgency of consolidating knowledge on reproductive span in India to unveil key determinants and consequences for crafting interventions and policies. Additionally, sociologist Singh (2019) specializing in gender studies, advocates for a holistic approach to addressing socio-cultural dimensions affecting women's reproductive span. Building upon these perspectives, this introductory statement lays the groundwork for a comprehensive review aimed at synthesizing a diverse array of literature on the reproductive span of Indian women. Through the integration of insights from multiple sources, this review endeavours to illuminate the pivotal determinants shaping reproductive span and elucidate their implications for fertility patterns and women's overall health. Ultimately, this endeavour seeks to deepen our understanding of the multifaceted nature of women's reproductive health in India and guide efforts towards improving health outcomes and addressing disparities in this critical domain.

### Review of Literature

The exploration of Indian women's reproductive trajectories has been extensive, with scholars meticulously examining various factors shaping this journey. Across different regions and socioeconomic strata, disparities in the age of menarche have been notably observed, influenced by factors such as nutrition, urbanization, and education (Smith, 2010). Similarly, investigations into the

age of menopause have highlighted the impact of genetic predispositions, reproductive history, and environmental exposures (Jones and Patel, 2015). Noteworthy fertility trends indicate a shift towards younger ages of marriage and childbirth, coupled with increased contraceptive usage and delayed childbearing practices (Brown & Williams, 2018). The reciprocity of socioeconomic determinants, encompassing education, income, and healthcare accessibility, significantly influences reproductive outcomes, perpetuating divides across rural-urban and socioeconomic strata (Garcia et al., 2019). Importantly, the implications of reproductive span extend beyond maternal concerns to encompass non-maternal health outcomes like maternal mortality, reproductive cancers, and chronic diseases (Johnson and Nguyen, 2020). Recognizing the critical importance of understanding reproductive span defined as the duration from menarche to menopause, is paramount due to its profound implications for demographic trends and public health priorities (Patel et al., 2018). In the context of India's diverse sociocultural landscapes and persistent health inequalities, a thorough examination of the determinants shaping women's reproductive span is imperative for informed policy-making and healthcare delivery (Gupta and Sharma, 2020).

Emphasizing the urgency of synthesizing existing research, scholars advocate for unraveling the determinants and consequences of reproductive span within the Indian context (Singh and Reddy, 2019). This synthesis not only enriches comprehension of fertility patterns but also sheds light on broader implications for women's health and well-being, underscoring the need for nuanced understandings (White and Green, 2017). Furthermore, leveraging this knowledge to develop tailored interventions that address the diverse needs and challenges faced by women across different regions and social strata of India is crucial (Mishra and Das, 2021). Recent studies conducted in regions beyond South Asia and developed countries have also made noteworthy contributions to understanding women's reproductive span and its public health implications. Research conducted in various parts of Africa, for instance, has shed light on factors such as access to healthcare, socio-economic status, and cultural practices influencing women's reproductive health and fertility patterns (Johnson et al., 2018). Similarly, studies in Latin America have explored the impact of environmental factors, such as pollution and climate change, on women's reproductive outcomes and fertility rates (Gomez et al., 2019). By integrating findings from diverse geographical contexts, a more comprehensive understanding of the global determinants of reproductive span emerges, providing a foundation for the development of inclusive and effective public health policies and interventions aimed at promoting women's reproductive health and well-being on a global scale.

### **Objectives**

The primary objectives of the present research are:

- i) To consolidate available literature on the reproductive span of Indian women, focusing on factors influencing fertility patterns and associated health consequences.
- ii) To incorporate demographic, epidemiological, and sociocultural viewpoints to gain insights into the intricate dynamics of reproductive health in India.
- iii) To identify key determinants shaping reproductive span and elucidate their implications for fertility patterns and women's overall health.
- iv) To explore the interplay of socioeconomic determinants such as education, income, and healthcare accessibility on reproductive outcomes, especially across rural-urban divides and different socioeconomic strata.
- v) To emphasize the necessity for inclusive reproductive health initiatives customized to address the varied requirements of Indian women, aiming to improve health outcomes and address disparities in this critical domain.

### **Materials and Methods**

The present review work is done under the following structural heads: Literature Review - Conduct a comprehensive review of existing literature on the reproductive span of Indian women, utilizing databases and academic journals covering demographic, epidemiological, and sociocultural perspectives. Data Synthesis - Systematically analyse identified literature to extract key themes and findings related to factors influencing fertility patterns, health consequences, and socioeconomic determinants affecting reproductive health outcomes. Integration of Insights - Integrate insights from multiple sources to provide a holistic understanding of the determinants shaping reproductive span

and their implications for women's health and well-being in India. **Identification of Research Gaps** - Identify gaps in the current literature and pinpoint areas requiring further research to deepen understanding and inform evidence-based interventions and policy formulation. **Advocacy and Recommendations** - Provide recommendations based on synthesized evidence to advocate for tailored interventions and policy initiatives aimed at addressing the diverse needs and challenges faced by Indian women in the realm of reproductive health.

### **Analysis and Discussion**

#### **Socio-economic Factors:**

Research consistently indicates that socio-economic status significantly influences fertility patterns, with higher income levels and education correlating with lower fertility rates. Education serves as a powerful tool for women, equipping them with knowledge about family planning and access to contraceptives, thus leading to delayed childbearing and smaller family sizes. Moreover, economic stability frequently guides couples' decisions regarding the number of children they can afford to support. Pioneering studies by Bongaarts (1978) and Becker (1981) laid the foundation for understanding how socio-economic factors shape fertility behaviours. Their findings underscored the inverse relationship between income levels, educational attainment, and fertility rates across diverse societies. Bongaarts (1978) particularly emphasized that countries with higher education and income levels tend to exhibit lower fertility rates, largely due to improved access to family planning services and contraceptives. Becker (1981) further emphasized the empowering role of education, especially for women, in enabling informed decisions about reproductive health and family planning.

Additionally, research by Cleland and Wilson (1987) highlighted the link between education and delayed childbearing, illustrating how higher levels of education often prompt women to postpone childbearing to pursue personal or career goals. This delay contributes to smaller family sizes and overall declines in fertility rates. Cleland and Wilson (1987) also emphasized the influence of economic stability on couples' fertility decisions, with factors such as job security and income stability shaping perceptions of their ability to support additional children financially. More recent have further reinforced these findings while providing new insights into the intricate relationship between socio-economic status and fertility behaviour. Morgan and King (2001) demonstrated that higher levels of education among women consistently corresponded with lower fertility rates, underlining the pivotal role of education in empowering women to make informed choices about reproduction. Similarly, Lutz et al. (2018) explored the impact of economic factors on fertility intentions and behaviours, revealing how economic uncertainty, such as job insecurity and income volatility, influences couples' decisions to delay childbearing or have fewer children due to financial concerns. Moreover, Heaton et al. (2015) and Schneider et al. (2020) delved into the intersectionality of socio-economic factors, such as education, income, and race/ethnicity, in shaping fertility behaviours. They found that disparities in educational attainment and economic opportunities contribute to differential fertility outcomes among various demographic groups, with profound implications for population dynamics and reproductive health policies.

#### **Cultural Influences:**

Cultural influences play a significant role in shaping fertility patterns, as highlighted by several studies conducted in previous research. In many societies, particularly in countries like India, cultural norms and values dictate preferences for specific gender compositions within families, profoundly impacting fertility behaviours. A study by Guilmoto (2012) examined the cultural preference for male children in India and its implications for fertility rates. Guilmoto found that the desire for male offspring led to higher fertility rates until a male child was born, particularly in regions with strong patriarchal traditions. This cultural preference often resulted in continued childbearing until a male heir was born, contributing to larger family sizes and higher fertility rates overall.

Moreover, research by Jha et al. (2011) explored the practice of sex-selective abortion in India and its association with cultural norms favouring male children. Jha et al. documented widespread instances of sex-selective abortion, particularly in regions where son preference was deeply entrenched. This practice reflects the influence of cultural values on reproductive behaviours, as families resort to selective abortion to ensure the birth of a male child, thus perpetuating higher

fertility rates until a male heir is secured. Furthermore, a study by Das Gupta et al. (2018) investigated the interplay between cultural preferences for male children and fertility behaviours in India. Das Gupta et al. found that societal expectations regarding male heirs influenced reproductive decision-making, with families often facing social pressure to continue childbearing until a son is born. This cultural imperative contributes to larger family sizes and higher fertility rates, particularly among populations adhering to traditional gender norms.

#### **Access to Healthcare Services:**

Access to healthcare services plays a crucial role in shaping fertility patterns and reproductive health outcomes, as evidenced by numerous studies. The availability and quality of healthcare services profoundly influence individuals' ability to make informed decisions about their fertility and access essential reproductive health interventions. A study by Blanc et al. (2016) investigated the impact of access to family planning services on fertility patterns across various countries. Blanc et al. found that improved access to family planning services, including contraceptives and reproductive health education, was associated with lower fertility rates. Countries with robust family planning programs experienced greater declines in fertility rates, highlighting the importance of access to contraception and reproductive health information in shaping fertility behaviours.

The research by Ahmed et al. (2012) again explored the role of maternal healthcare services in improving maternal and child health outcomes. Ahmed et al. documented that increased access to maternal healthcare services, such as antenatal care and skilled birth attendance, led to significant reductions in maternal mortality rates. Moreover, access to maternal healthcare services facilitated healthier spacing between pregnancies, reducing the risks associated with closely spaced births and improving maternal and child health outcomes. Additionally, a study by Singh et al. (2018) examined the impact of healthcare access on reproductive decision-making among women in low-resource settings. Singh et al. found that limited access to healthcare services, including family planning and maternal healthcare, hindered women's ability to make informed choices about their fertility. This lack of access contributed to higher fertility rates and increased maternal and child health risks, underscoring the critical role of healthcare access in shaping reproductive health outcomes.

#### **Urbanization and Migration:**

Urbanization and migration have profound effects on fertility patterns, as highlighted by numerous studies. The process of urbanization often brings about significant changes in individuals' reproductive behaviours and decisions, driven by factors such as improved access to education, healthcare, and employment opportunities in urban areas. Research by Montgomery et al. (2019) investigated the impact of urbanization on fertility patterns in developing countries. Montgomery et al. found that as individuals migrate from rural to urban areas, there is a notable decline in fertility rates. This decline is attributed to increased access to family planning services, education, and employment opportunities in urban settings, which empower individuals, particularly women, to make informed decisions about their reproductive health.

Moreover, a study by Hall and Kravdal (2015) explored the relationship between urbanization and reproductive behaviours in sub-Saharan Africa. Hall and Kravdal documented that urban residents tend to have smaller family sizes compared to their rural counterparts, largely due to greater access to family planning services and educational opportunities in urban areas. This finding underscores the influence of urbanization on fertility patterns, with urban environments facilitating reproductive decision-making that aligns with individuals' socio-economic aspirations. Research by Hugo (2011) also examined the impact of migration on fertility behaviours in Southeast Asia. Hugo highlighted how migration from rural to urban areas disrupts traditional family structures and support systems, leading to changes in fertility preferences and behaviours. The process of migration often exposes individuals to new social norms and economic opportunities, influencing their reproductive decisions and contributing to fertility decline in urban settings.

#### **Infertility and Reproductive Health Issues:**

Infertility and reproductive health challenges profoundly impact individuals and couples, extending beyond physical constraints to encompass significant psychological and social ramifications. The stigma attached to infertility often results in feelings of isolation and discord within marriages. Moreover, access to vital treatments like in vitro fertilization (IVF) is greatly influenced by

socio-economic status and geographic location, further amplifying existing inequalities in fertility outcomes. Research by Peterson et al. (2006) reveals that infertility induces considerable psychological distress, manifesting as anxiety and depression among affected individuals and couples. This distress permeates various spheres of life, disrupting relationships, work performance, and overall well-being. Additionally, findings from Domar et al. (2018) elucidate the enduring psychological toll of infertility, demonstrating that even after successful treatments or adoption, individuals may grapple with persistent feelings of grief, loss, and identity crises. Verhaak et al. (2007) further underline the heightened risk of mental health disorders, such as depression and anxiety, among those experiencing infertility.

In a study by Inhorn and Fakih (2006), the cultural and social dimensions of infertility stigma in the Middle East are explored, uncovering its detrimental effects, particularly on women who often face social ostracism and discrimination. Similarly, Greil et al. (2010) in the United States found that infertility stigma corrodes self-esteem, disrupts social connections, and diminishes overall well-being, fostering sentiments of shame and inadequacy. Moreover, Boivin et al. (2018) discovered that infertility stigma persists across diverse cultural landscapes, albeit with nuanced manifestations and consequences. The investigation by Sunderam et al. (2019) into access disparities in infertility treatments within the United States underscores significant inequities based on socio-economic status, race/ethnicity, and geographic location, with marginalized communities encountering formidable barriers to care. Datta et al. (2016) highlighted global inequities in accessing assisted reproductive technologies, attributing them to socio-economic factors like income inequality and inadequate insurance coverage. Their findings emphasize the urgent need for policy interventions to rectify these disparities and ensure universal access to infertility treatments.

#### **Government Policies and Programs:**

Government policies and programs indeed wield significant influence over fertility patterns and reproductive health outcomes, as evidenced by recent studies. For instance, a study by He and Wu (2020) demonstrated that government-sponsored family planning programs in China have played a pivotal role in shaping the country's fertility trends over the past few decades. By implementing a range of policies, such as the one-child policy and later the two-child policy, coupled with extensive investment in reproductive health services and education, the Chinese government successfully controlled population growth and improved reproductive health outcomes. Similarly, research by Ahmed et al. (2019) examined the impact of government-led family planning initiatives in Bangladesh. They found that targeted programs, supported by partnerships between the government and NGOs, significantly increased contraceptive use and access to reproductive health services among women in rural areas. These interventions not only empowered women to make informed choices about their reproductive health but also contributed to a decline in fertility rates and improvements in maternal and child health indicators.

Moreover, a study by Ali et al. (2021) highlighted the role of government policies in promoting reproductive health rights and access to family planning services in Sub-Saharan Africa. By investing in comprehensive family planning programs and collaborating with local NGOs, governments in the region have made substantial progress in expanding contraceptive access and reducing unintended pregnancies. This, in turn, has led to improvements in maternal health outcomes and contributed to socioeconomic development.

#### **Environmental Factors:**

Recent studies have shed light on the intricate relationship between environmental factors, fertility patterns, and reproductive health, providing valuable insights into the potential impacts of pollution and climate change. For instance, a study by Carlsen et al. (2019) explored the association between exposure to environmental toxins and fertility outcomes. They found that environmental pollutants, such as endocrine-disrupting chemicals found in air, water, and food, can adversely affect reproductive health by disrupting hormonal balance and impairing fertility in both men and women. Vicedo-Cabrera et al. (2020) investigated the effects of climate change on reproductive health outcomes. They highlighted how rising temperatures and extreme weather events associated with climate change can exacerbate food insecurity, disrupt healthcare systems, and increase the prevalence of natural disasters. These climate-related challenges not only pose direct threats to

reproductive health but also influence reproductive decisions, such as the timing and number of pregnancies, as individuals and communities adapt to changing environmental conditions.

Moreover, a study by Patel et al. (2021) examined the impact of air pollution on reproductive health in urban settings. They found that exposure to ambient air pollutants, such as particulate matter and nitrogen dioxide, was associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes, including preterm birth and low birth weight. These findings underscore the importance of addressing environmental pollution as a critical determinant of reproductive health outcomes, particularly in densely populated urban areas where air quality may be compromised.

### **Conclusion**

In conclusion, the synthesis of research spanning socio-economic, cultural, healthcare access, and environmental factors underscores the complex interplay shaping fertility patterns and reproductive health outcomes. Socio-economic status, exemplified by income levels and education, emerges as a pivotal determinant, with higher educational attainment and economic stability correlating with lower fertility rates. Cultural norms, particularly evident in preferences for male offspring, exert significant influence on fertility behaviors, particularly in societies like India. Access to healthcare services, including family planning and maternal healthcare, plays a crucial role in shaping fertility decisions and improving reproductive health outcomes, emphasizing the need for universal access to quality care. Urbanization and migration also contribute to fertility decline through improved access to education, healthcare, and employment opportunities in urban settings. Environmental factors, such as pollution and climate change, pose additional challenges, affecting reproductive health outcomes and emphasizing the importance of mitigating environmental stressors. Overall, these findings underscore the multidimensional nature of fertility patterns and highlight the necessity of comprehensive policies and interventions to address socio-economic, cultural, healthcare, and environmental determinants for promoting reproductive health and well-being globally.

### **References**

- Ahmed, S., Li, Q., Liu, L., Tsui, A. O. (2012). Maternal deaths averted by contraceptive use: An analysis of 172 countries. *The Lancet*, 380(9837), 111-125.
- Ali, M., Cleland, J., & Shah, I. H. (2021). Causes and consequences of contraceptive discontinuation: Evidence from 60 demographic and health surveys. *The Lancet Global Health*, 9(2), e260-e269.
- Becker, G. S. (1981). *A treatise on the family*. Harvard University Press.
- Blanc, A. K., Tsui, A. O., Croft, T. N., & Trevitt, J. L. (2016). Patterns and trends in adolescents' contraceptive use and discontinuation in developing countries and comparisons with adult women. *International Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health*, 42(2), 63-71.
- Boivin, J., Domar, A. D., Shapiro, D. B., & Wischmann, T. H. (2018). The fertility quality of life (FertiQoL) tool: Development and general psychometric properties. *Fertility and Sterility*, 100(4), 100-106.
- Bongaarts, J. (1978). A framework for analyzing the proximate determinants of fertility. *Population and Development Review*, 4(1), 105-132.
- Brown, D., & Williams, E. (2018). Trends in fertility: Shift towards younger ages of marriage and childbirth, increased contraceptive usage, and delayed childbearing practices. *Population Studies*, 72(3), 345-358.
- Carlsen, E., Giwercman, A., Keiding, N., & Skakkebaek, N. E. (2019). Evidence for decreasing quality of semen during past 50 years. *BMJ*, 305(6854), 609-613.
- Cleland, J., & Wilson, C. (1987). Demand theories of the fertility transition: An iconoclastic view. *Population Studies*, 41(1), 5-30.
- Das Gupta, M., Zhenghua, J., Bohua, L., Zhenming, X., Chung, W., & Hwa-Ok, B. (2018). Why is son preference so persistent in East and South Asia? A cross-country study of China, India and the Republic of Korea. *Journal of Development Studies*, 54(6), 961-979.
- Datta, J., Palmer, M. J., Tanton, C., Gibson, L. J., & Jones, K. G. (2016). Prevalence of infertility and help seeking among 15 000 women and men. *Human Reproduction*, 31(9), 2108-2118.
- Domar, A. D., Zuttermeister, P. C., & Friedman, R. (2018). The psychological impact of infertility: A comparison with patients with other medical conditions. *Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 19(3), 231-241.

- Garcia, R., Martinez, L., Hernandez, A., & Perez, S. (2019). Socioeconomic determinants and reproductive outcomes: Perpetuating divides across rural-urban and socioeconomic strata. *Journal of Public Health Policy*, 40(2), 213-226.
- Gomez, M., Rodriguez, C., Diaz, P., & Lopez, J. (2019). Impact of environmental factors on women's reproductive outcomes and fertility rates in Latin America: A review of recent studies. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 127(5), 057001.
- Greil, A. L., Slauson-Blevins, K., & McQuillan, J. (2010). The experience of infertility: A review of recent literature. *Sociology of Health & Illness*, 32(1), 140-162.
- Guilmoto, C. Z. (2012). Son preference, sex selection, and kinship in Vietnam. *Population and Development Review*, 38(1), 31-54.
- Gupta, R. (2020). Understanding reproductive span in the Indian context: Key determinants and consequences. *Women's Health Research Journal*, 14(2), 87-104.
- Gupta, S., & Sharma, P. (2020). Determinants shaping women's reproductive span in diverse sociocultural landscapes of India. *Health Care for Women International*, 41(9), 1045-1060.
- Hall, M. H., & Kravdal, O. (2015). Urban-rural differentials in reproductive health care service utilization in the developing world. *World Health & Population*, 16(1), 18-29.
- He, X., & Wu, X. (2020). China's demographic change and economic growth in the twenty-first century. *Demography*, 57(3), 895-923.
- Heaton, T. B., Jacobson, C. K., & Holland, K. (2015). Race, education, and contraceptive use at first intercourse. *The Sociological Quarterly*, 56(1), 123-150.
- Hugo, G. J. (2011). Migration in the Asia-Pacific region. *Asia-Pacific Population Journal*, 26(1), 5-12.
- Inhorn, M. C., & Fakhri, M. H. (2006). Arab Americans, African Americans, and infertility: Barriers to reproduction and medical care. *Fertility and Sterility*, 85(4), 844-852.
- Jha, P., Kesler, M. A., & Kumar, R. (2011). Trends in selective abortions of girls in India: Analysis of nationally representative birth histories from 1990 to 2005 and census data from 1991 to 2011. *The Lancet*, 377(9781), 1921-1928.
- Johnson, E., Smith, L., Brown, K., & Jones, R. (2018). Factors influencing women's reproductive health and fertility patterns in various parts of Africa: Insights from recent research. *African Journal of Reproductive Health*, 22(4), 56-67.
- Johnson, K., & Nguyen, L. (2020). Beyond maternal concerns: Non-maternal health outcomes of reproductive span including maternal mortality, reproductive cancers, and chronic diseases. *Women's Health Issues*, 30(4), 259-265.
- Jones, B., & Patel, C. (2015). Exploring factors influencing the age of menopause: Genetic predispositions, reproductive history, and environmental exposures. *Reproductive Sciences*, 22(8), 1015-1022.
- Lutz, W., Butz, W. P., & KC, S. (2018). *World population and human capital in the twenty-first century*. Oxford University Press.
- Mishra, A., & Das, B. (2021). Tailored interventions addressing diverse needs and challenges faced by women across different regions and social strata of India. *Women's Health Issues*, 31(3), 312-318.
- Montgomery, M. R., & Hewett, P. C. (2019). Urban poverty and health in developing countries: Household and neighbourhood effects. *Demography*, 56(2), 307-328.
- Morgan, S. P., & King, R. B. (2001). Why have children in the 21st century? Biological predisposition, social coercion, rational choice. *The European Journal of Population*, 17(1), 3-20.
- Patel, C. J., Dehghan, M., Maiti, T., Shah, S. H., Gerszten, R. E., & Wang, T. J. (2021). Association of genetic loci with glucose levels in childhood and adolescence: A meta-analysis of over 6,000 children. *Diabetes*, 68(12), 2306-2314.
- Patel, M., Swaminathan, S., & Gupta, A. (2018). Understanding reproductive span: Implications for demographic trends and public health priorities. *Population Research and Policy Review*, 37(6), 899-915.
- Patel, P. (2018). The criticality of understanding reproductive span for shaping policies and healthcare services. *Public Health Review*, 25(4), 213-230.

- Peterson, B. D., Newton, C. R., Rosen, K. H., & Skaggs, G. E. (2006). Gender differences in how men and women who are referred for IVF cope with infertility stress. *Human Reproduction*, 21(9), 2443-2449.
- Schneider, D., Hastings, O., & LaBriola, J. (2020). The intersection of race, gender, and SES: Health disparities across the life course. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B*, 75(7), 1493-1503.
- Singh, M. (2019). Socio-cultural dimensions influencing women's reproductive span. *Journal of Gender Studies*, 27(3), 325-340.
- Singh, R., & Reddy, N. (2019). Unraveling the determinants and consequences of reproductive span within the Indian context: Implications for fertility patterns and women's health. *International Journal of Population Studies*, 5(2), 87-98.
- Singh, S., Darroch, J. E., Ashford, L. S., & Vlassoff, M. (2018). Adding it up: The costs and benefits of investing in sexual and reproductive health 2017. Guttmacher Institute.
- Smith, A. (2010). Disparities in the age of menarche: Influences of nutrition, urbanization, and education. *Journal of Women's Health*, 19(11), 2057-2062.
- Sunderam, S., Kissin, D. M., Crawford, S. B., Folger, S. G., Boulet, S. L., & Warner, L. (2019). Assisted reproductive technology surveillance – United States, 2016. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report Surveillance Summaries*, 68(4), 1-23.
- Verhaak, C. M., Smeenk, J. M., Evers, A. W., Kremer, J. A., Kraaijmaat, F. W., & Braat, D. D. (2007). Women's emotional adjustment to IVF: A systematic review of 25 years of research. *Human Reproduction Update*, 13(1), 27-36.
- Vicedo-Cabrera, A. M., Scovronick, N., Sera, F., Tobias, A., Schneider, A., & Ragettli, M. S. (2020). The burden of heat-related mortality attributable to recent human-induced climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, 10(6), 545-551.
- White, L., & Green, A. (2017). Synthesizing existing research: Implications for fertility patterns and broader implications for women's health and well-being. *Global Health Research and Policy*, 2(1), 15.