

# Challenges of Diagnosing Autism Spectrum Disorder Under Current Diagnostic Criteria

Dr. Aissa Touati Brahim <sup>1</sup>, Dr. Rachid Souaker <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>: University 8 Mai 1945- Guelma, Algeria, [touatibrahim.aissa@univ-guelma.dz](mailto:touatibrahim.aissa@univ-guelma.dz)

<sup>2</sup>: University of El Oued, Algeria, [souaker-rachid@univ-eloued.dz](mailto:souaker-rachid@univ-eloued.dz)

received: 05/10/2024, published: 15/12/2024

## Abstract:

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a neurodevelopmental condition that affects communication, social interaction, and behavior. Diagnosing ASD remains a complex task due to the challenges posed by current diagnostic criteria, co-occurring conditions, age-related factors, and cultural biases. This paper explores these complexities and proposes strategies for refining the diagnostic process. By addressing these challenges, we can improve the accuracy and inclusivity of ASD diagnoses across diverse populations. This will benefit individuals with ASD, their families, and healthcare professionals alike.

**Keywords:** Autism Spectrum Disorder, ASD, diagnosis, diagnostic criteria, co-occurring conditions, age-related factors, cultural biases.

## Introduction:

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a complex neurodevelopmental condition affecting millions of individuals worldwide. Despite growing awareness and understanding of ASD in recent decades, the diagnostic process remains challenging, particularly given the well-documented benefits of early and accurate diagnosis for individuals with ASD and their families.

The diagnosis of ASD relies heavily on clinical judgment informed by standardized assessments and observations. However, the heterogeneity of ASD presentations, limitations of existing tools, variability in clinical expertise, and the influence of cultural factors create significant challenges for accurate and timely diagnosis. These difficulties can lead to misdiagnosis and diagnostic overshadowing.

Despite advancements in ASD research and increased awareness, significant disparities persist in the diagnosis and access to services for individuals from diverse backgrounds. These inequities are further compounded by the challenges inherent in the current diagnostic criteria for ASD.

This research examines the diagnostic challenges and obstacles surrounding the diagnosis of ASD using current diagnostic frameworks, including the DSM-5 and various assessment tools such as the ADOS-2, ADI-R, CARS-2, GARS-3, and M-CHAT. It sheds light on the challenges that arise from the presence of co-occurring conditions like ADHD and anxiety, as well as the influence of age-related considerations and cultural biases on the diagnostic process. The complex nature of these factors emphasizes the necessity for tailored diagnostic approaches that can effectively address these issues. To enhance the precision and efficiency of ASD diagnoses, the paper proposes a set of strategies and future directions aimed at refining the diagnostic process, making it more comprehensive and effective in identifying ASD across diverse populations.

## 1-Definition and Epidemiology:

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a neurodevelopmental disability manifesting in early childhood that is associated with qualitative impairments in social communication (which may

include decreased eye contact, impaired language skills and limited use of gestures) and social interaction, together with restricted or repetitive interests and behaviours. Stereotypical behaviours may include repetitive hand flapping, spinning and rocking. It is reported across all racial and socioeconomic groups, affects 1 in 100 people in the UK and 1 in 59 children in the USA today.

As the term “spectrum” suggests, ASD symptoms exist along a continuum. Some people with the disorder are able to succeed in traditional schools, hold jobs and perform functions of daily living with varying levels of support. Others have significant intellectual impairments and will need extensive support and assistance throughout their lives (American Psychological Association, 2017).

Being a spectrum disorder with wide variability in symptoms, the learning, behavioural and problem-solving abilities in affected children can range from highly skilled to severely challenged, causing varying levels of stress in affected families (Suhumaran et al., 2020).

The estimated prevalence of ASD has increased dramatically over the past 40 years. Studies on prevalence have included a wide variety of research methodologies and occurred across different countries, cultures, and time periods, complicating comparisons of their findings. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has attempted to answer questions about ASD prevalence in the community, reporting that 1 in 88 children are affected, with boys at higher rates than girls and Caucasians at higher rates than African-Americans or Latinos. However, limitations in diagnostic tools and community resources make it difficult to determine if there is a true increase in prevalence (White et al., 2012).

The World Health Organization estimates the prevalence of autism at 1% of the global population. In Algeria, with a population of 45.02 million and one million births annually, over 450,000 people are affected by ASD. This issue is a major concern for health and education authorities, calling for improved detection, diagnosis, and care in line with international recommendations (*The Current State of Autism in Algeria*, n.d.).

## **2- Importance of Diagnostic Evaluation of ASD:**

Formal diagnostic testing for ASD is essential due to the absence of biological tests, leading to reliance on behavior-based assessments. Evaluating functioning in key areas offers valuable prognostic insights, such as predicting future language abilities and social outcomes based on early developmental indicators. Diagnostic evaluations inform treatment planning by identifying behavior functions and targets for interventions, contributing to improved outcomes. While not always conclusive, assessments can prompt necessary referrals for further evaluation. Studies suggest that diagnostic confirmation provides relief to families, particularly in complex cases, and offers a comprehensive understanding of a child's strengths and challenges. Involving parents in the diagnostic process through transparent communication is considered a best practice, enhancing the overall experience and outcomes for children with ASD (Huerta & Lord, 2012).

## **3-Assessment and Identification of ASD:**

The process of diagnosing ASD can be lengthy and challenging for families. Parents may experience increased stress, negative impacts on their health, anxiety, depression, and relationship difficulties after receiving the ASD diagnosis. Family-specific factors, such as the child's attributes and the parents' understanding of atypical development, can influence how they navigate the diagnostic process. The lack of training among professionals often requires referrals to other specialists, prolonging the diagnosis. Assessments may not be standardized across different clinics, further frustrating parents. Parents may express concerns that are not specific to ASD, making it

hard for professionals to determine if developmental delays or differences are present. (White et al., 2012) .

For an accurate diagnosis of ASD, the assessment process consists of screening and evaluation:

### **3-1- Screening:**

Autism screening entails employing concise assessments to gauge the probability of a diagnosis. Screening results don't confirm a diagnosis, rather, they aid primary care providers in pinpointing children at risk for ASD who need further evaluation. While general developmental screening tools at 9, 18, and 30 months assess language, cognitive, and motor delays, they might not effectively detect the social symptoms indicative of ASD (Hyman et al., 2020).

Although screening and surveillance share the goal of early detection of developmental issues, their methods differ. Developmental surveillance involves continuous monitoring and gathering of information related to potential developmental concerns over time, which may or may not involve standardized tools, taking into account factors like having a sibling with ASD or concerns raised by parents or pediatricians. In contrast, autism screening employs specific standardized and evidence-based tools like M-CHAT. If a child is identified as being at risk for autism, they should be referred for a comprehensive diagnostic assessment. In cases where the risk is clear, either due to high screening scores or other developmental delays, children may be directly referred to early intervention services before undergoing a thorough evaluation (Yu et al., 2024).

Screening tools suitable for use in young children (under 24 months) can be categorized into Level 1 or Level 2 instruments. Level 1 screening measures are designed for the general population and aim to identify children at risk of developmental disorders, including ASD. Level 2 screening tools, on the other hand, target children at risk of ASD for various reasons: they may already be under observation for developmental concerns, have failed Level 1 screening, or be siblings of children with ASD (Petrocchi et al., 2020).

### **3-2- Diagnostic Evaluation:**

The diagnostic assessment for autism involves a comprehensive procedure to evaluate and diagnose individuals suspected of having ASD. When screening indicates a potential risk of autism, children are usually directed towards thorough and formal diagnostic assessments. The practices for diagnosing autism have significantly progressed in recent years. Instead of depending solely on clinical opinions, there has been a shift towards creating and assessing evidence-supported, standardized evaluation tools to enhance clinical judgment and recognize autism more effectively (Zwaigenbaum et al., 2021).

Various tools such as questionnaires, structured interviews, and observation scales are employed to collect information and confirm the diagnosis according to the criteria set forth in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5). Clinicians may utilize instruments like the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS-2) and the Childhood Autism Rating Scale (CARS-2) to aid in the diagnostic process. The objective of the evaluation is to comprehend the individual's symptoms, level of functional impairment, and potential co-existing conditions to render an accurate diagnosis and guide suitable interventions (Hyman et al., 2020).

Therefore, it is commonly suggested to involve a interdisciplinary team of experts, such as a psychologist, speech-language pathologist, and developmental-behavioral pediatrician. While this approach may offer thoroughness and convenience for families, it is not consistently included in insurance coverage, accessible in all healthcare settings or regions, and may not be efficient for straightforward cases (Penner et al., 2018).

#### **4- Current Diagnostic Criteria for ASD:**

ASD can be challenging because it relies on observing symptoms and behaviors, which can be subjective. This raises concerns about accuracy, particularly in young children and elderly adults. Researchers are looking for ways to make diagnosis more objective and reliable, including creating tools to differentiate ASD from other conditions. Early diagnosis is a growing area of focus, as it allows for quicker intervention and better long-term outcomes for patients (Lenart & Pasternak, 2023).

##### **4-1- DSM-5:**

Since 2013, DSM-5 has been used as a diagnostic tool for ASD worldwide. According to DSM-5, symptoms characteristic of autism are observed in two main areas: deficits in social communication and restrictive, repetitive patterns of behaviour (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).

The criteria used to diagnose autism have changed significantly over the last few decades, particularly during the transition from the DSM-IV-TR to the DSM-5. In this update, separate diagnoses such as autistic disorder, Asperger's disorder, and pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified were combined into a single diagnosis: ASD. Furthermore, the original three symptom categories of social impairment, language/ communication impairment, and repetitive/restricted behaviors were reorganized into two symptom domains: persistent deficits in social communication and interaction, and restricted, repetitive patterns of behaviors. Sensory symptoms, including both hypo- and hyper-reactivity, were incorporated into the restricted and repetitive behaviors category. Lastly, a severity assessment scale (Levels 1-3) was introduced to determine the level of support needed for daily functioning in individuals with autism (Yu et al., 2024).

The diagnosis of ASD is challenging due to its complex and varied symptoms, especially in early development. Restrictive diagnostic policies and practices further complicate this process. The DSM-5 criteria are complex, requiring multiple examples of a behavior in various contexts, and miss some ASD-related behaviors. This can lead to missed diagnoses, particularly in children with milder symptoms (Hus & Segal, 2021).

##### **4-2- ADOS:**

The Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule, Second Edition (ADOS-2) is a semi-structured evaluation tool, primarily based on the examiner's direct observation of the child during the assessment which generally lasts between 40 to 60 minutes. Items are rated on a scale from zero to two or three, with zero denoting "normal" behavior or behaviors seen in typically developing individuals. The ADOS-2 originated from the DSM-IV standards for an autism diagnosis but has been adjusted to incorporate DSM-5 requirements, encompassing measurements of social communication and fixated interests/repetitive behaviors (Lord et al., 2012).

The ADOS-2 is widely recognized as the "gold standard" measure for ASD. It can be administered by qualified professionals from various backgrounds. While the test kit provides most materials, some need to be supplemented by the examiner. Proper training and experience with ASD are crucial for accurate interpretation of the ADOS-2 results (McCrimmon & Rostad, 2014).

The ADOS-2 assessment for ASD is adaptable to different age groups and language abilities. From five modules, examiners choose one based on the individual. Young, non-verbal children use the Toddler Module, while those with single words take Module 1. Module 2 assesses children using

phrases. Modules 3 & 4, typically for fluent speakers, with Module 4 geared towards older adolescents and adults (Lord et al., 2012).

#### **4-3- ADI-R:**

The Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R) is a comprehensive, semi-structured interview conducted with caregivers as part of a comprehensive evaluation to diagnose autism. It is used in conjunction with other measures to inform clinical judgment. Originally developed based on DSM-IV-TR and ICD-10 diagnostic criteria, the ADI-R assesses current and historical autism-related behaviors in individuals with a mental age of at least 2 years, covering the period from 18 months to adulthood. The interview generates three algorithm scores: social difficulty, communication challenges, and repetitive behaviors. Trained clinicians administer the ADI-R, which takes 90 to 150 minutes to complete. A toddler version for children under 4 years old includes 32 new questions and a new algorithm (Yu et al., 2024).

The ADI-R is the most widely used standardized interview for assessing ASD and is often considered part of the "gold standard" due to its good psychometric properties. The ADI-R operationalizes each of the 12 behavioral diagnostic criteria of ASD in DSM-IV/ICD-10 using 2-5 items, resulting in a time-consuming but potentially reliable measure. It is frequently used in research settings, where its reliability and validity are well established. It is also included in various national clinical guidelines and commonly applied in clinical practice for ASD in Europe, North America, and other parts of the world (Zander et al., 2017).

#### **4-4- CARS2:**

The Childhood Autism Rating Scale, Second Edition (CARS-2), recommended by Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in 2018, is a clinician-rated diagnostic tool for ASD. It consists of two forms: the standard form (CARS2-ST), which maintains the original 1980 CARS scale, and the high-functioning form (CARS2-HF) for patients aged 6+ with an estimated IQ of 80+ and fluent communication skills. Both forms use similar rating scales and rely on observations and information gathered from parents and teachers to assess individuals with suspected ASD (Schopler et al., 2010).

The Childhood Autism Rating Scale, Second Edition (CARS-2) is a 15-item, observation-based rating scale widely used for diagnosing ASD. Published in 2010, it builds upon the original CARS, first introduced in 1980. The CARS-2 assesses a range of functions, including social, emotional, adaptive, communicative, and cognitive functions. Each item is rated on a four-point scale, ranging from "within normal limits for that age" to "severely abnormal for that age." Scores can range from 15 (indicating normal development on all items) to 60, and the cutoff for an ASD diagnosis is 30. Children with scores of 30.5–37 are rated as mildly–moderately autistic, and those with scores of 37.5–60 are rated as severely autistic (Schopler et al., 1995).

#### **4-5- GARS-3:**

The Gilliam Autism Rating Scale–Third Edition (GARS-3) is a standardized tool aimed at identifying ASD in individuals aged 3 to 22. It consists of 58 Likert-type items distributed across six subscales. These subscales align with the definitions of ASD from the Autism Society (2012) and the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5). The subscales include Restricted/Repetitive Behaviors (13 items), Social Interaction (14 items), Social Communication (9 items), Emotional Responses (8 items), Cognitive Style (7 items), and Maladaptive Speech (7 items). (Gilliam, 2014).

The GARS-3, an updated autism rating scale, is administered by qualified professionals such as school psychologists, speech-language pathologists, and autism specialists. This revised version includes significant modifications, retaining only 16 items from its predecessor and introducing 42 new ones to better align with the DSM-5 criteria for autism. It has been rigorously tested through confirmatory and exploratory factor analyses to validate its subscales, proving its theoretical and empirical robustness. The tool is concise, user-friendly, and has been shown to provide valid and reliable results. Updated to reflect contemporary definitions of autism by the DSM-5 and Autism Society, the GARS-3 also connects evaluation to potential interventions by offering a booklet with targeted instructional goals for each item (Karren, 2017).

#### **4-6-M-CHAT:**

A popular tool for screening toddlers for ASD is the Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers, or M-CHAT. It's widely used because it's affordable, easy to administer, and works for general screening. The Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers (M-CHAT) was developed by adapting the Checklist for Autism in Toddlers (CHAT). While CHAT was groundbreaking in identifying toddlers with ASD in the general population, it lacked sensitivity for clinical use. M-CHAT, consisting of 23 items, retains elements from CHAT such as joint attention and pretend play but expands its coverage to include a wider range of developmental areas. It incorporates a follow-up interview to clarify parental questionnaire responses, reducing false positives. M-CHAT has been evaluated in various primary care settings and internationally in multiple languages with validated translations. Additionally, it's available as an electronic tablet-based version, enhancing its accessibility and usability for primary care pediatricians and parents alike. The latest iteration, M-CHAT-Revised with Follow-Up (M-CHAT-R/F), comprises 20 items, with only those in the medium-risk category requiring a follow-up interview (Robins et al., 2014).

#### **5- Challenges in Diagnosing ASD:**

The vast spectrum of symptoms and presentations in ASD makes diagnosis a complex challenge. To accurately identify ASD, a thorough process is needed that carefully evaluates each individual's unique characteristics.

##### **5-1- Heterogeneity of ASD Presentations:**

One of the most challenging aspects in recognizing ASD is the wide heterogeneity of features in children. There is no pathognomonic feature, however, a few of the early social deficits (eg, delayed or absent joint attention) seem to be fairly reliable red flags for ASD. The autism spectrum encompasses an extremely heterogeneous phenotype with indistinct end points, especially at the mild end of the spectrum. The severity of each of the core deficits varies significantly among children with ASD (Johnson et al., 2007).

The heterogeneity in ASD presentations poses challenges for clinicians in recognizing and interpreting symptoms accurately. Some individuals may exhibit atypical or milder symptoms that manifest differently, potentially leading to misdiagnosis or delayed diagnosis (APA, 2017).

##### **5-2- Comorbidity with Other Conditions:**

ASD and its comorbidity with other conditions poses challenges due to overlapping symptoms and communication barriers. Individuals with ASD often present with comorbid conditions such as ADHD and anxiety disorders. The presence of overlapping symptoms between ASD and these comorbidities can complicate the diagnostic process. Clinicians must conduct thorough assessments to differentiate between primary ASD symptoms and secondary conditions to ensure accurate diagnosis and appropriate interventions (Okoye et al., 2023).

ASD frequently co-occurs with issues like intellectual disability or anxiety. Diagnosing intellectual disability in ASD is challenging due to language delays and testing difficulties. Additionally, attention and anxiety are common in autistic children, but differentiating them from primary attention/anxiety disorders requires careful evaluation. This is because social deficits appear early in ASD, while they may develop later in other conditions (Marco & Sherr, 2014).

Diagnosing ASD becomes even more challenging when it occurs alongside psychotic disorders. This is because both conditions share similar symptoms, particularly difficulties with language, social interaction, and communication. However, in ASD, these issues often overlap with the "negative symptoms" of schizophrenia, such as a lack of motivation or emotional expression (Scarselli et al., 2022).

### **5-3-Age-Related Considerations:**

Diagnosing ASD in different age groups presents unique challenges. In very young children, early signs of ASD may be challenging to distinguish from typical developmental variations. Moreover, symptoms of ASD may evolve or present differently in adults, making diagnosis complex. Understanding how symptoms manifest across different age groups is essential for accurate and timely diagnosis (Hyman et al., 2020; Lord et al., 2006).

ASD in children can be a complex process. Unlike a simple checklist, ASD diagnosis considers a child's overall development alongside their specific behaviors. Factors like age and cognitive abilities can significantly influence how a child acts. For instance, repetitive language use, sometimes seen in ASD, might be perfectly normal in younger children or those with limited language skills. Additionally, information from parents and caregivers can be influenced by a child's developmental stage. This is why clinicians must carefully evaluate not just the behaviors themselves, but also the context in which they occur, including the child's age, language level, and the source of the information (Huerta & Lord, 2012).

### **5-4- Potential Cultural Biases in Diagnostic Tools and Clinician Judgment:**

Cultural factors play a significant role in shaping the diagnostic process of ASD. Cultural biases in diagnostic tools and clinician judgment can impact the recognition and interpretation of symptoms, potentially leading to underdiagnosis or misdiagnosis in certain populations.

Despite the diagnosis of ASD affecting individuals across racial and ethnic groups, variations in prevalence are reported. This discrepancy might be due to cultural biases within the diagnostic process. Potential factors include limited access to early screening for minority communities, cultural variations in how ASD manifests, and the use of diagnostic tools lacking cultural sensitivity (Hong, 2022).

There have been studies showing discrepancies in parent reports across different racial and ethnic backgrounds regarding ASD symptoms. Additionally, assessments tools commonly used for diagnosing ASD, such as the ADOS-2 and ADI-R, may not always be culturally sensitive, leading to potential misinterpretations of behaviors that are influenced by cultural norms. It is essential for clinicians and practitioners to be aware of these cultural biases in diagnostic tools and to consider the cultural backgrounds of individuals when conducting assessments (Stoll et al., 2021) While these tools are considered gold standards with high accuracy in diagnosis, discrepancies in results between them can make accurate diagnosis challenging (Hus & Segal, 2021).

### **5-5- Cultural factors influencing the diagnosis of ASD:**

ASD transcends geographical borders, affecting individuals across all regions, languages, cultures, and socioeconomic backgrounds. It's a disorder without borders. However, the landscape of diagnosis, treatment resources, and community attitudes towards ASD varies significantly (Nowell et al., 2015).

According to Iyama-Kurtycz (2020), multicultural issues significantly impact diagnosing ASD. Diagnosing and serving children, particularly those from minority backgrounds, is fraught with challenges. Limited resources, language barriers, and potential cultural biases can create significant hurdles. Studies reveal concerning delays and misdiagnoses in African-American children compared to Caucasian children. Furthermore, lack of access to healthcare and cultural stigma surrounding mental health can further obstruct diagnosis and service access within these communities.

Evidence shows later diagnoses in Latino and African American children. Minority parents may view language and social delays as a temporary phase, missing subtle ASD traits like lack of pointing or eye contact. Conversely, they might readily identify more pronounced symptoms like repetitive language or motor delays. (Hus & Segal, 2021).

This complexity extends beyond diagnosis. Cultural background heavily influences how families perceive a child's diagnosis. While some cultures view it as a blessing, others may see it with shame or blame the parents. Cultural values also play a role in what behaviors families prioritize. For instance, some Asian families might focus on addressing social development concerns before language delays. Understanding these diverse cultural perspectives is crucial for effective communication with families and ensuring they receive the most appropriate support for their child's needs (Iyama-Kurtycz, 2020).

### **5-6-Gender differences and diagnosis of ASD:**

ASD presents a challenge when it comes to gender. One major reason is a potential bias towards males, reflected in higher diagnosed rates for them. This suggests there might be gender-specific ways ASD manifests. Diagnostic tools themselves contribute to the issue. Originally tested mainly on males, these tools might require females to exhibit more severe symptoms for diagnosis. This can lead to missed cases, late diagnoses, or misdiagnoses in females, depriving them of proper support (Schuck et al., 2019).

Further complicating matters is "camouflaging," where some females with ASD mask their symptoms. This ability to suppress atypical behaviors or force social cues makes it even harder to detect ASD in them. Inconsistencies in research on gender differences in ASD symptoms add to the problem. Studies show mixed results, with some finding variations between males and females and others not. These inconsistencies might be due to differences in how researchers measure these variations (Tubío-Fungueiriño et al., 2021).

To address gender disparities in ASD diagnosis, it is essential to consider various factors, including potential male bias, limitations in diagnostic tools, camouflaging behaviors in females, and inconsistencies in research findings. Continued research is vital for improving accurate diagnoses for both genders.

### **6- Strategies and directions for improving Diagnosis:**

To tackle the challenges associated with diagnosing ASD under the current diagnostic criteria, several proposed solutions and future directions include:



- **Dimensional approach:** Researchers have suggested adopting a dimensional approach to ASD diagnosis, which would consider the severity of symptoms along a continuum rather than using categorical cutoffs (Constantino & Charman, 2016). This approach may better capture the heterogeneity of ASD presentations and improve diagnostic accuracy (Wiggins et al., 2019).
- **Biomarkers:** The identification of reliable biomarkers for ASD could aid in the diagnostic process and improve the objectivity of assessments (Loth et al., 2016). Researchers are investigating potential biomarkers, such as genetic, neuroimaging, and electrophysiological measures, which may complement behavioral observations and standardized assessments (Varcin & Nelson, 2016).
- **Improved standardized assessments:** Developing and refining standardized assessments that are more sensitive to the diverse presentations of ASD across age groups and cognitive abilities could enhance diagnostic accuracy (Randall et al., 2018). Additionally, standardized assessments should consider not only the core symptom burden but also the impact of symptoms on adaptive functioning to accurately diagnose and measure the severity of ASD (Constantino & Charman, 2016).
- **Integration of multiple sources of information:** Diagnostic evaluations should integrate information from multiple sources, including caregivers, teachers, and other professionals who interact with the individual regularly (Huerta & Lord, 2012). This approach can provide a more comprehensive understanding of an individual's functioning across different contexts and improve diagnostic accuracy (Volkmar et al., 2014).
- **Longitudinal studies:** Conducting longitudinal studies that follow individuals with ASD across their lifespan can provide valuable insights into the stability of diagnoses, the trajectories of symptoms, and the factors that influence outcomes (Steinhausen et al., 2016). Such studies can inform the refinement of diagnostic criteria and the development of targeted interventions (Szatmari et al., 2015).

By addressing these challenges and exploring these future directions, researchers and clinicians can work towards improving the diagnostic process for ASD and ensuring that individuals receive appropriate support and interventions.

### **Conclusion:**

Diagnosing ASD is a complex process due to various challenges, such as diverse presentations, comorbidities with other conditions, age-related factors, and cultural biases affecting diagnostic practices. To overcome these challenges, comprehensive assessments, interdisciplinary collaboration, and cultural sensitivity are crucial for enhancing diagnostic accuracy and providing appropriate support for individuals with ASD.

The DSM-5 criteria have provided a framework for identifying and diagnosing individuals with ASD. However, several issues remain in accurately diagnosing ASD under these guidelines, including symptom heterogeneity, overlap with other neurodevelopmental disorders, and limitations of standardized assessments, potentially leading to misdiagnosis or delayed diagnosis.

Researchers and clinicians are working towards solutions and future directions to address these challenges. These include adopting a dimensional approach to diagnosis, identifying reliable biomarkers, improving standardized assessments, integrating information from multiple sources, and conducting longitudinal studies. By refining the diagnostic process and incorporating new research findings, we can strive for more accurate and timely diagnosis of ASD.

Accurate diagnosis is vital for providing appropriate interventions and support to individuals with ASD and their families. Clinicians must stay updated on the latest research and best practices in ASD diagnosis and collaborate with families and professionals to ensure the best possible outcomes for individuals with ASD. As our understanding of ASD evolves, diagnostic criteria and practices should adapt to reflect new knowledge and insights, ultimately leading to improved care and support for those on the autism spectrum.

### References:

- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-5®)* (5th ed.). American Psychiatric Pub. <https://dsm.psychiatryonline.org/doi/book/10.1176/appi.books.9780890425596>
- American Psychological Association. (2017). *Diagnosing and managing autism spectrum disorder (ASD)*. <https://www.apa.org>. <https://www.apa.org/topics/autism-spectrum-disorder/diagnosing>
- Constantino, J. N., & Charman, T. (2016). Diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder: Reconciling the syndrome, its diverse origins, and variation in expression. *The Lancet Neurology*, *15*(3), 279–291. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422\(15\)00151-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(15)00151-9)
- Gilliam, J. E. (2014). *Gilliam Autism Rating Scale* (3rd ed.). Pro-Ed.
- Hong, E. (2022). *Examination of Autism Symptoms Across Ethnic Groups: Cross-Cultural Validation of the Baby and Infant Screen for Children with Autism Traits (BISCUIT)* [Louisiana State University and Agricultural and Mechanical College]. [https://repository.lsu.edu/gradschool\\_dissertations/5909](https://repository.lsu.edu/gradschool_dissertations/5909)
- Huerta, M., & Lord, C. (2012). Diagnostic evaluation of autism spectrum disorders. *Pediatr Clin North Am*, *59*(1), 103–111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcl.2011.10.018>.
- Hus, Y., & Segal, O. (2021). Challenges Surrounding the Diagnosis of Autism in Children. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment*, *Volume 17*, 3509–3529. <https://doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S282569>
- Hyman, S. L., Levy, S. E., Myers, S. M., COUNCIL ON CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES, S. O. D. A. B. P., Kuo, D. Z., Apkon, S., Davidson, L. F., Ellerbeck, K. A., Foster, J. E. A., Noritz, G. H., Leppert, M. O., Saunders, B. S., Stille, C., Yin, L., Weitzman, C. C., Childers, D. O., Jr, Levine, J. M., Peralta-Carcelen, A. M., Poon, J. K., ... Bridgemohan, C. (2020). Identification, Evaluation, and Management of Children With Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Pediatrics*, *145*(1), e20193447. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2019-3447>
- Iyama-Kurtycz, T. (2020). *Diagnosing and Caring for the Child with Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Practical Guide for the Primary Care Provider*. Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-26531-1>
- Johnson, C. P., Myers, S. M., & and the Council on Children With Disabilities. (2007). Identification and Evaluation of Children With Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Pediatrics*, *120*(5), 1183–1215. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2007-2361>
- Karren, B. C. (2017). A Test Review: Gilliam, J. E. (2014). Gilliam Autism Rating Scale—Third Edition (GARS-3). *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment*, *35*(3), 342–346. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734282916635465>

- Lenart, A., & Pasternak, J. (2023). Resources, Problems and Challenges of Autism Spectrum Disorder Diagnosis and Support System in Poland. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 53(4), 1629–1641. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-021-05142-1>
- Lord, C., Rutter, M., DiLavore, P., Risi, S., Gotham, K., & Bishop, S. L. (2012). *Autism diagnostic observation schedule* (2nd ed.). Western Psychological Services. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doiLanding?doi=10.1037/t54175-000>
- Loth, E., Murphy, D. G., & Spooren, W. (2016). Defining Precision Medicine Approaches to Autism Spectrum Disorders: Concepts and Challenges. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2016.00188>
- Marco, E. J., & Sherr, E. H. (2014). Autism Spectrum Disorder. In M. J. Aminoff & R. B. Daroff (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of the Neurological Sciences (Second Edition)* (pp. 335–338). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385157-4.00432-2>
- McCrimmon, A., & Rostad, K. (2014). Test Review: Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule, Second Edition (ADOS-2) Manual (Part II): Toddler Module. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment*, 32(1), 88–92. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734282913490916>
- Nowell, K. P., Brewton, C. M., Allain, E., & Mire, S. S. (2015). The Influence of Demographic Factors on the Identification of Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Review and Call for Research. *Review Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 2(3), 300–309. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40489-015-0053-x>
- Penner, M., Anagnostou, E., & Ungar, W. J. (2018). Practice patterns and determinants of wait time for autism spectrum disorder diagnosis in Canada. *Molecular Autism*, 9(1), 16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13229-018-0201-0>
- Petrocchi, S., Levante, A., & Lecciso, F. (2020). Systematic Review of Level 1 and Level 2 Screening Tools for Autism Spectrum Disorders in Toddlers. *Brain Sciences*, 10(3), Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci10030180>
- Randall, M., Egberts, K. J., Samtani, A., Scholten, R. J., Hooft, L., Livingstone, N., Sterling-Levis<sup>a</sup>, K., Woolfenden, S., & Williams, K. (2018). Diagnostic tests for autism spectrum disorder (ASD) in preschool children. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD009044.pub2>
- Robins, D. L., Casagrande, K., Barton, M., Chen, C.-M. A., Dumont-Mathieu, T., & Fein, D. (2014). Validation of the Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers, Revised With Follow-up (M-CHAT-R/F). *Pediatrics*, 133(1), 37–45. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2013-1813>
- Scarselli, V., Martucci, M., Novelli, M., Galosi, S., Romani, M., & Sogos, C. (2022). Diagnostic and Therapeutic Challenges of Comorbid ASD, ADHD and Psychosis: A Case Report. *Behavioral Sciences*, 12(10), 382. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs12100382>
- Schopler, E., Reichler, R., & Rothen Renner, B. (1995). *The Childhood Autism Rating Scale (CARS)*. Western Psychological Services.
- Schopler, E., Van Bourgondien, M. E., Wellman, G. J., & Love, S. R. (2010). *The Childhood Autism Rating Scale (2nd ed.)*. Western Psychological Services.

- Schuck, R. K., Flores, R. E., & Fung, L. K. (2019). Brief Report: Sex/Gender Differences in Symptomology and Camouflaging in Adults with Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 49(6), 2597–2604. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-019-03998-y>
- Steinhausen, H.-C., Mohr Jensen, C., & Lauritsen, M. B. (2016). A systematic review and meta-analysis of the long-term overall outcome of autism spectrum disorders in adolescence and adulthood. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 133(6), 445–452. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.12559>
- Stoll, M. M., Bergamo, N., & Rossetti, K. G. (2021). Analyzing Modes of Assessment for Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) Using a Culturally Sensitive Lens. *Advances in Neurodevelopmental Disorders*, 5(3), 233–244. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41252-021-00210-0>
- Suhumaran, S., Yeleswarapu, S. P., Daniel, L. M., & Wong, C. M. (2020). Congenital blindness and autism spectrum disorder (ASD): Diagnostic challenges and intervention options. *BMJ Case Reports*, 13(2), e232981. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2019-232981>
- Szatmari, P., Georgiades, S., Duku, E., Bennett, T. A., Bryson, S., Fombonne, E., Mirenda, P., Roberts, W., Smith, I. M., Vaillancourt, T., Volden, J., Waddell, C., Zwaigenbaum, L., Elsabbagh, M., Thompson, A., & for the Pathways in ASD Study Team. (2015). Developmental Trajectories of Symptom Severity and Adaptive Functioning in an Inception Cohort of Preschool Children With Autism Spectrum Disorder. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 72(3), 276–283. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.2463>
- The current state of autism in Algeria.* (n.d.). Autisme Algérie. Retrieved October 5, 2024, from <https://autisme.sante.gov.dz/autisme-en-algerie/etat-des-lieux-sur-lautisme-en-algerie/>
- Tubío-Fungueiriño, M., Cruz, S., Sampaio, A., Carracedo, A., & Fernández-Prieto, M. (2021). Social Camouflaging in Females with Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Systematic Review. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 51(7), 2190–2199. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-020-04695-x>
- Varcin, K. J., & Nelson, C. A. I. (2016). A developmental neuroscience approach to the search for biomarkers in autism spectrum disorder. *Current Opinion in Neurology*, 29(2), 123. <https://doi.org/10.1097/WCO.0000000000000298>
- Volkmar, F., Siegel, M., Woodbury-Smith, M., King, B., McCracken, J., & State, M. (2014). Practice Parameter for the Assessment and Treatment of Children and Adolescents With Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 53(2), 237–257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2013.10.013>
- White, S. P., Weitlauf, A. S., & Warren, Z. E. (2012). Chapter Two - Early Diagnosis of Autism Spectrum Disorder: Progress, Challenges, and Remaining Questions for Families and Professionals. In R. M. Hodapp (Ed.), *International Review of Research in Developmental Disabilities* (Vol. 43, pp. 47–85). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-398261-2.00002-7>
- Wiggins, L. D., Rice, C. E., Barger, B., Soke, G. N., Lee, L.-C., Moody, E., Edmondson-Pretzel, R., & Levy, S. E. (2019). DSM-5 criteria for autism spectrum disorder maximizes diagnostic sensitivity and specificity in preschool children. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 54(6), 693–701. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-019-01674-1>
- Yu, Y., Ozonoff, S., & Miller, M. (2024). Assessment of Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Assessment*, 31(1), 24–41. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10731911231173089>

Zander, E., Willfors, C., Berggren, S., Coco, C., Holm, A., Jifält, I., Kosieradzki, R., Linder, J., Nordin, V., Olafsdottir, K., & Bölte, S. (2017). The Interrater Reliability of the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R) in Clinical Settings. *Psychopathology*, *50*(3), 219–227. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000474949>

Zwaigenbaum, L., Bishop, S., Stone, W. L., Ibanez, L., Halladay, A., Goldman, S., Kelly, A., Klaiman, C., Lai, M.-C., Miller, M., Saulnier, C., Siper, P., Sohl, K., Warren, Z., & Wetherby, A. (2021). Rethinking autism spectrum disorder assessment for children during COVID-19 and beyond. *Autism Research*, *14*(11), 2251–2259. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2615>